

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,773	01/12/2004	Gen-San Kao	MSCP0023USA	1772
27765	7590 04/14/2006	•	EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			TANNER, HARRY B	
	P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/707,773	KAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harry B. Tanner	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
					Disposition of Claims	
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	its have been received. Its have been received in Application of the contraction of the c	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/707,773

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Arslain et al in view of official notice. Arslain discloses a temperature control apparatus for a PWM device having a temperature detector 108 around the PWM device and a programmable driver 100 that in response to the temperature being greater than a maximum limiting temperature reduces the frequency of the PWM in a step amount and when the temperature is no longer at a high temperature the control returns to the normal frequency (see col. 4, lines 25-42 and col. 5, lines 4-22). Official notice is taken that the provision of a temperature dead band between which no control action is taken in temperature controls in order to prevent rapid switching back and forth is well known in the art. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Arslain such that it included the provision of a temperature dead band between which no control action is taken. The provision of adjustable set temperatures and frequency step amounts in the programmable driver 100 is considered to have been an obvious matter of programming flexibility into the control means based upon the specific needs of a given application.

Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as Arslain et al in view of official notice applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of admitted prior art. It is take to be admitted prior art that the use PWM devices to

Art Unit: 3744

provide a current for a central processing unit is conventional in view of paragraph 004 of the specification. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the temperature control of Arslain on PWM devices that provide a current for a central processing unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry B. Tanner whose telephone number is (571) 272-4813. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Harry B. Tanner **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3744